

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 NASH BROWER,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE OF ALASKA, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00330-APG-DJA

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 9]

8 On March 1, 2024, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Nash Brower did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF
10 No. 9. Brower did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the
11 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation (**ECF No. 9**) is
17 **accepted**. This case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this
18 case.

19 DATED this 19th day of March, 2024.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23